	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/996,407	TAM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carlos A. Azpuru	1615
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Carlos A. Azpuru.	(3)	·
(2) <u>Shelley P. Eberle</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 June 2003	Time: <u>3:15 pm</u>	·
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		·
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		·
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was agreed that the word "systemically" would be deleted since the goal of the claimed method is to attain an effective sytemical level, however adminsitration is accomplished via various routes including transmucosal delivery.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
•		
	. •	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		